

- Maintenance and processing require attention
- Attention can only be deployed to one thing at a time (central bottleneck)
- Items in the focus of attention gain activation, while all others decay with time

Research Questions

- 1. Is working memory (WM) span really a linear function of cognitive load?
- 2. Can we formally specify how articulatory rehearsal works with attentional refreshing?



Compatibility with ACT-R

- Specialized modules & limited capacity buffers
- Only one production can fire at a time
- Power law learning and forgetting



Overview of the Model



Simulation



Extending an Integrated Computational Model of the Time-**Based Resource-Sharing Theory of Working Memory** Joseph J. Glavan Joseph W. Houpt Wright State University, Dayton, Ohio



Cognitive Load

Contact: glavan.3@wright.edu

Discussion

Support for TBRS's prediction of a linear relationship between WM span and cognitive load in a more complex model and environment Tails still need to be tested in human subjects Model suggests that we may be unable to measure the tails of this function in humans Some suggestion of non-linearity at high load, but this may be moderated by spacing

- Exact nature of the coordination between attentional refreshing and articulatory rehearsal mechanisms remains uncertain
 - Rehearsal itself induces some cognitive load Model suggests rehearsal reduces effect of cognitive load
 - Rehearsal improves recall overall
- Articulatory suppression strongly constrains models of articulatory rehearsal
 - Possible challenger to the traditional queuelike interpretation of the articulatory loop Requires further development of perceptual attention in ACT-R

Future Work

- Continue exploring temporal dynamics of WM Delay and spacing effects
 - Complex interactions between consolidation, decay, refreshing, and rehearsal
 - Applications to problem solving in real world work environments (Human Factors)
- More sophisticated representations of the list Grouping effects
 - Serial position effects and other errors
- Associative learning
- Structural additions (new module) to the ACT-R architecture

References

Anderson, J. R. (2007). How can the human mind occur in the physical universe? Oxford University Press. Barrouillet, P., Bernardin, S., & Camos, V. (2004). Time constraints and resource sharing in adults' working memory spans. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 133(1), 83.

Barrouillet, P., Bernardin, S., Portrat, S., Vergauwe, E., & Camos, V. (2007). Time and cognitive load in working memory. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 33(3),

Barrouillet, P., Portrat, S., Camos, V. (2011). On the law relating processing to storage in working memory. Psychological Review, American Psychological Association, 118 (2), pp.175-92.

Camos, V., & Barrouillet, P. (2014). Attentional and non-attentional systems in the maintenance of verbal information in working memory: the executive and phonological loops. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 8, 900. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2014.00900

Glavan, J. J. (2017). Exploring the time-based resource-sharing model of working memory through computational modeling. (Unpublished master's thesis). Wright State University. (http:// rave.ohiolink.edu/etdc/view?acc_num=wright149609967802364)

Glavan, J. J. & Houpt, J. W. (2018). An integrated working memory model for time-based resourcesharing. In I. Juvina, J. Houpt, & C. Myers (Eds.), Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Cognitive Modeling (pp. 19-24). Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin